The active Dead Sea Rift fault zone: a seismic wave-guide
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Abstract. The analysis of several types of earthquake-induced failure features in the Dead Sea Rift (DSR) region reveals peculiarities that depart from habitual damage distribution patterns observed elsewhere. These observations, together with a series of unusual seismic characteristics, suggest that the structure of the DSR influences the dissipation of seismic energy and acts as a wave-guide.

The results of this series of independent investigations suggest that the peculiar structure of the DSR significantly filters seismic loads. It can be seen that both at a regional and at a local scale, the DSR acts as a wave-guide. The special structure of the DSR with its simple geometry and extremely sharp lithological contrasts will, in certain cases, allow for the trapping of seismic loads and their displacement over large distances with minimal energy losses.

Numerical simulations of the seismic load filtering by the DSR are urgently required for such vital tasks as the assessment of seismic hazard in the DSR region or the global monitoring of seismic activity within the framework of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT).

1 Introduction

Investigations of seismically-induced paleolandslides have shown that a number of earthquakes have triggered instabilities at epicentral distances that exceed worldwide observations (Wust-Bloch and Wachs, 2000). A survey of archeological structures shows a very selective destruction pattern whereby every site located within the Dead Sea Rift (DSR) reveals signs of destruction while structures located a few kilometers away from the DSR are still intact after 2000 years (Wust-Bloch, 2000). In the DSR region, instrumental records also demonstrate that earthquakes are capable of triggering sensors at unusual distances. The Jericho earthquake of 11 July 1927 ($m_b$ 6.25), was recorded by a station located about 700 km away from the epicenter (Shapira et al., 1993). Similarly, the moderate 2 September 1973, Kinneret earthquake ($M$ 4.5) was felt in the Negev, more than 200 km away (Arie et al., 1977). During the 22 November 1995, Nuweiba earthquake ($M_b$ 6.25), Peak Ground Accelerations (PGA) recorded more than 500 km from the epicenter (Shamir, 1996; Shapira et Shamir, 1996) were unexpectedly high, not to mention unexpected failures of elevators in buildings located hundreds of kilometers from the epicenter (Levy et al., 2000).

These seismographic records, as well as numerous seismically-induced failure features, are perfectly consistent with a series of recent seismic observations at different scales. At a regional scale, $S_n$ and $P_g$ waves are reported to be filtered in the vicinity of the DSR (Rodgers et al., 1997). At a local scale, a monitoring array deployed in the Negev (Bartal et al., 2000), received microseisms located in the DSR faster than expected based on predictions using a direct path. These observations confirm previous coda wave studies showing a discrepancy between the DSR and the flank of the rift (van Eck, 1988).

The results of this series of independent investigations suggest that the peculiar structure of the DSR significantly filters seismic loads. It can be seen that both at a regional and at a local scale, the DSR acts as a wave-guide. The special structure of the DSR with its simple geometry and extremely sharp lithological contrasts will, in certain cases, allow for the trapping of seismic loads and their displacement over large distances with minimal energy losses.

Numerical simulations of the seismic load filtering by the DSR are urgently required for such vital tasks as the assessment of seismic hazard in the DSR region or the global monitoring of seismic activity within the framework of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT).

2 Observations in the DSR region

In the DSR region, a series of earthquake-triggered failure features have been observed in landslides, in archeological structures as well as in elevators. Their analysis, together with instrumental data from recent earthquakes, suggests that the dispersion pattern of seismic energy is unusual and might be influenced by the DSR substructure.
2.1 Landslides

Since ancient times, Northern Israel, part of which lies within the DSR, has been known for its high landslide hazard. The high clay and marl content of the regional lithologies, the steepness of the slopes together with the abundant seasonal rainfall have been documented in a variety of investigations (Wiseman et al., 1970; Wachs and Levitte, 1978, 1980, 1981) and held to be the cause of slope instabilities in the region. Wachs and Levitte (1978, 1980, 1981) noted the repeated failure of slopes, mostly shallow colluvium masses, in direct relation with peak rainfall events. However, the monitoring of unstable slopes in Northern Israel (Wust and Wachs, 1996, 1998a; Wust et al., 1997) has revealed that over a period of 36 months, no direct relationship was found between seasonal variations of the groundwater table and the average downhill displacement (10–30 mm/year) of the landslide (Wust et al., 1997; Wust-Bloch and Wachs, 2000). The slopes, which are characterized by low residual strength and fail at random intervals in time and space, independently of the fluctuation of their groundwater tables, are extremely sensitive to additional seismic loads (Wachs and Wust, 1998; Wust and Wachs, 1998b; Wust-Bloch and Wachs, 2000). Indeed, several instances of earthquake triggered slope instabilities have been reported either around Jerusalem (Wachs and Levitte, 1984) or in the Galilee region (Wachs and Levitte, 1978, 1980, 1981). Niemi and Ben-Avraham (1994, 1997) even documented a case of underwater sediment slumping triggered by an earthquake in the Dead Sea.

The analysis of the most recent strong earthquake, the 11 July 1927 Jericho earthquake ($M_b$ 6.25), shows that its effect on slope stability was unusual in many aspects (Wust-Bloch and Wachs, 2000). Slope failures were responsible for most of the death toll and structural damage, particularly in the city of Nablus (Vered and Striem, 1976a, 1976b, 1977). Taking place during the driest time of the year, it triggered deep, large-scale landslides in addition to the usual shallow colluvium failures. More surprisingly, it triggered slope failure at epicentral distances that depart from and significantly exceed data collected worldwide for landslides induced by earthquakes (Keefer, 1984; Fig. 1). The upper boundary given by Keefer (1984), limits a cluster of landslide data collected from 19 earthquakes that have triggered coherent landslides in similar lithologies. In the DSR region, destructive coherent slope failures have occurred in the village of Reineh, more than 120 km away from the epicenter (Wust-Bloch and Wachs, 2000). Since, the material and landslide type of this site do not even qualify as belonging to one of the ten most failure-prone environments proposed by Keefer (1984), an additional factor that contributes to the instability must be involved.

2.2 Archeological structures

The DSR region encompasses an extremely high number of archeological sites reaching back well over 2000 years into the Hellenistic period. A series of comprehensive paleoseismological investigations have been carried out on selected sites near or on the active DSR fault zone (Ellenblum et al., 1998; Marco et Agnon, 1995; Marco et al., 1996, 2000; Nur and Ron, 1997). In specific instances, these analyses of earthquake-induced structural failures provided a quantitative assessment of paleo-displacement that was then related to the magnitude of ancient earthquakes (Ellenblum et al., 1998; Marco et al., 2000). Together with an exceptional archeological and historical record, the fact that relatively few strong earthquakes shook Northern Israel over the life-time of the structures enables to know which event(s) affected a given structure. In addition, for repeated events, Marco et al. (2000) have shown that meticulous paleoseismological investigations and archeological evidences generally permit to identify which structural damage was caused by which event. Thus, the high density and rather homogeneous distribution of ancient structures that have sustained or suffered from a series of strong earthquakes in the region (Amiran et al. 1995) can be used to map failures induced by paleoseisms. Sites were mapped as having failed when field evidences (Ellenblum et al., 1998; Marco et al., 1996, 2000; Nur and Ron, 1997; Stern et al., 1993; comm. pers. Moti Aviam) showed that its structure was directly displaced, shared or destroyed either by strong ground motion or by surface rupture. Sites were mapped as not having been structurally affected by ground motion when its supporting structure remained intact at its original height till this day (Stern et al., 1993; comm. pers. Moti Aviam). Special care was taken to exclude bias from sites that had been renovated or even partly supported as well as those for which data was not reliable (comm. pers. Moti Aviam). The plotting (Fig. 2)
of two-dozen archeological structures on a map shows a selective distribution of failure (Wust-Bloch, 2000), which singularly differs from the expected pattern of destruction that would gradually fade away from the DSR seismogenic zone as a function of distance. First, a series of seven sites that present earthquake-induced structural failure, are all located exclusively within or on the DSR fault zone. Second, structures, some of them dating back 2000 years, located only a few kilometers away from the DSR fault zone, on the shoulders of either side of the DSR, are still structurally intact today. In addition, a significant number of intact archeological structures have also been reported to exist further North of the DSR, in the Bakaa Valley (Lebanon).

While the systematic failure of ancient structures along the active DSR fault zone is no surprise, this sharply contrasts with the presence of intact archeological structures on both sides and so near the active fault zone. Such a distribution pattern of paleofailures suggests either a very strong attenuation of seismic loads in a direction normal to the DSR, or a very strong amplification along the DSR axis. Since these ancient structures, including those in the DSR, all sustained several strong earthquakes and were built in commanding positions directly on the bedrock, their selective spatial distribution indicates a recurring anisotropic dispersion of seismic loads within and around the DSR rather than either anomalies due to site effects or to the particularities of individual seismic events.

2.3 Elevators

Among modern structures, an elevator that works as an inverse pendulum can provide semi-quantitative data on the ground accelerations withstood by structures (Segal et al., 1996). The performance of elevator systems in Israel was examined after the 22 November 1995, Nuweiba earthquake ($M_b$ 6.25) (Levy et al., 2000). The study revealed that elevator failure was not limited to the city of Eilat, located at an epicentral distance of about 90 km to the North of Nuweiba (Sinai, Egypt), but extended to Be’er Sheva in the Negev (280 km) and to the Dead Sea region (300 km), as well as to the Central region of Tel Aviv (350 km). Unfortunately, the high number and diversity of parameters responsible for elevator failure prevents an accurate quantification of the ground motion. In addition, since very few structures have elevators and no data is available for the DSR region stretching between the Dead Sea and the lake Kinneret, the spatial distribution of lift failure is biased. Besides indicating that the present standards are inadequate (Levy et al., 2000), the unexpected extent of elevator failure triggered by this moderate earthquake at epicentral distances exceeding 350 km suggests, again, that the high level of ground motion observed results from the fact that the dispersion of seismic energy is facilitated along the N-S axis.
2.4 Ground motion

A series of recent earthquakes, some of them at very low magnitudes, were felt and recorded at unusually large epicentral distances. The epicenter of the 2 September 1973, Kinneret earthquake ($M_{L}$ 4.5) was located in the southeastern part of the lake Kinneret (Amiran et al. 1995). This weak seism was felt (MMS III-IV) all the way down to the Negev region at epicentral distances exceeding 180 km (Arieh et al., 1977). The 22 November 1995, Nuweiba Earthquake ($M_b$ 6.25, $M_w$ 7.1) was recorded by at least nine stations of the national seismic monitoring network operated by the Geophysical Institute of Israel (Shamir, 1996; Shapira et Shamir, 1996). The Eilat station, located 93 km from the epicenter, recorded peak horizontal ground accelerations (PGA) of (E-W) 91.1 or (N-S) 79.0 cm/s$^2$ for the first shock and (E-W) 42.1 or (N-S) 36.1 cm/s$^2$ for the first aftershock. Even more striking is the fact that at an epicentral distance of 502 km, the Hagoshrim station recorded horizontal PGA of (E-W) 5.1 to (N-S) 4.4 cm/s$^2$.

Within the framework of the seismic exclusion zone design, a tripartite microseismic array picked up the 9 October 1998, Dead Sea earthquake, which had a very low magnitude ($M_L$ 2.1) at a hypocentral distance of 81.5 km (Bartal et al., 2000).

The unusual level of ground motion in the region is best understood when compared to available empirical attenuation relations. Based on relations proposed by Joyner and Boore (1981) for strong motion records for up to 400 km, Reiter (1990) designed a comprehensive diagram of median (50th percentile) estimates for peak horizontal acceleration. When plotted (Fig. 3) in a modified version of Reiter’s (1990) diagram (increased distance axis and without curves for distances up to 50 km proposed by Campbell, 1981), the data of the 22 November 1995, Nuweiba Earthquake ($M_b$ 6.25, $M_w$ 7.1) significantly exceeds ground motion predictions, for both the main shock as well as for the first aftershock at stations located at 93 and at 502 km from the epicenter. Since the smallest magnitude considered by both Campbell (1981) and Joyner and Boore (1981) is 5.5, lower magnitude observations ($M$ 4.5 and $M_L$ 2.1) cannot be compared directly. However a simple linear extrapolation of the relation proposed by Joyner and Boore (1981) suggests that events of a magnitude lower than 5.5 should not be recorded beyond about 100 km, a fact that contradicts a series of ground motion observations of small magnitude events.

Even if the unexpectedly high PGA level of some sites, such as the Eilat station, might have resulted from the amplification of on-coming low frequency events in the granular soil (Levy et al., 2000), significant site effects are not expected for the majority of observations. In fact, the data from the 1988 Dead Sea ($M_{L}$ 2.1) and 1973 Kinneret ($M_{L}$ 4.5) earthquakes as well as most of the data for the 1995 Nuweiba earthquake ($M_b$ 6.25) was recorded in stations for which neither the nature of the soil nor the presence of disturbing geomorphologic features such as alluvial fans and playa, can be invoked. Furthermore, the fact that $P$- and $S$-phase arrivals seem to be mixed or even inverted as observed during the 1998 Dead Sea earthquake (Bartal et al., 2000), suggests that parts of the seismic load travel along non-direct but faster
paths, possibly along the nearby DSR.

3 The DSR substructure

The results of several geophysical surveys carried out within the DSR area provide a model for its large-scale substructure that is in agreement with the present microseismicity pattern. This model also accounts for the aforementioned observations and suggests that it can influence the dissipation of seismic energy.

3.1 Geometry and rheology within the DSR substructure

The DSR substructure corresponds to the southern section of the Dead Sea Transform that extends 1000 km from the zone of plate divergence along the Red Sea to the Taurus-Zagros zone of plate convergence (Garfunkel, 1997). The DSR fault zone comprises a series of pull-apart basins of various sizes and depths (Ben-Avraham, 1997; Ben-Avraham et al., 1990; Ben-Avraham and Ten Brink, 1989; Garfunkel, 1981, 1997; Garfunkel and Ben-Avraham, 1996; Ten Brink and Ben-Avraham, 1989; Ten Brink et al., 1993). The Dead Sea Basin (DSB), one of the largest along the Dead Sea Transform (Garfunkel, 1997), has been studied in numerous geophysical surveys (Frieslander and Ben-Avraham, 1989; Frieslander et al., 1992; Ginzburg et Kashai, 1981; Ginzburg et al., 1981; Hall and Neve, 1978; Rotstein and Bartov, 1989; Ten Brink and Ben-Avraham, 1989; Ten Brink et al., 1993) whose results have been comprehensively analyzed by Ben-Avraham (1997). The DSB reaches 20 km in width and its length ranges between 140 to 200 km (Ten Brink et al., 1993). At its center, the DSB consists of a complex and undeformed sedimentary basin whose depth reaches 10 to 12 km (Larsen et al., 2002). A model for the DSB based on gravimetric data (Ten Brink et al., 1993) shows strong contrasts between the Northern and Southern sides of the basin that slope gently and gradually towards its center, and the Western and Eastern of the DSB that are controlled by subvertical N-S trending fault zones. At depth, this model predicts an elevated Moho at the base of the DSR substructure (Ten Brink et al., 1993; Fig. 4). In view of the fact that the elevated Moho can be found below the DSB at a depth ranging between 25 to 28 km, the section of continental crust that lies below the DSB appears to be extremely thin, less than a dozen kilometers and possibly only 7–8 km. This attenuated crustal section, comprising the two coalescing boundary fault zones, mark the boundary between the Arabian and the Sinai plates.

In terms of rheology, the clear geometrical boundaries of the DSB juxtapose lithologies that display a very high material contrast. The limestone or sandstone of the surrounding bedrock are in direct contact with the DSB, which contains a 10 km thick sedimentary fill of Neogene to Quaternary sediments (Garfunkel, 1997) that show no evidence of internal deformation that is not related to salt diapirism (Larsen et al., 2002). Most of the deformation of the DSR fault zone is accommodated along a series of boundary faults that mark the rheological jump between the rather ductile sediments of the DSB and the stiffer, brittle, bedrock. It is expected that this material contrast attenuates at depth as a function of increasing lithostatic pressure.

3.2 Microseismicity

Since the anisotropic progression of seismic sources is a function of their location in relation to material inhomogeneities, the recent microseismicity needs to be analyzed. Its pattern within the DSR area (Ben-Menahem et al., 1976; Shapira, 1997; Shapira et al., 1993) conforms well to the models for the DSR substructure previously discussed. A good agreement exists between the epicentral locations of the
recent microseismicity and both mapped tectonic lineaments (Bartov, 1994, Garfunkel, 1997), and large-scale fault zones as revealed by E-W deep seismic survey (Rotstein and Bartov, 1989). Projected on tectonic maps (Bartov, 1994), the epicenters delineate the two sets of N-S trending boundary fault zones. In the Dead Sea area, the microseismic activity apparently observed directly above the undeformed sedimentary basin could have two sources. First, a number of events presently located within the DSB could, in fact, coincide with the boundary fault zones if the bias of event location (Bartal et al., 2000; Steinberg et al., 2001) due to travel time anomalies caused by the DSR is properly taken into consideration. Second, the origin of these epicenters could be a deeper area where both boundary fault zones coalesce under the DSB, provided the brittle-ductile transition zone extends deep enough.

In conclusion, it can be observed that the DSR substructure controls the microseismicity pattern in the region. Indeed, the large majority of sources are located along the deep boundary fault zones, where both material contrast and the stresses are maximal. From their initial sources, seismic loads then spread in an anisotropic way, either as headwaves along the material interface or reflected by the higher velocity bedrock, and are then trapped and guided within the DSR substructure in a way similar to signals propagating in an optic-fiber.

4 Seismic Load Evolution

The analysis of the seismic load evolution within the DSR substructure shows how it influences both path effects at a local and at a regional scale as well as site effects.

4.1 Path effect

At a local scale, the combination of a high material contrast and “bathtub”-like basin geometry provides for the strong guiding of seismic loads by the DSB substructure. According to Fermat’s principle (Lay and Wallace, 1995), the higher seismic velocity of the surrounding bedrock provides for the confining of seismic loads within the DSB. Depending on their angle of incidence, the seismic loads that reach the material interface between the basin and the bedrock, at or beyond the critical angle, will either propagate as headwaves along the fault zones or be reflected back into the basin (Fig. 5). It should be noted that since the amplitude of headwaves decreases faster than that of amplitude of body waves over distance, the former will arrive first but the bulk of the energy will bounce within the low-velocity substructure.

Horizontally, the two boundary fault zones control the evolution of seismic loads. Waves that are not refracted by the two N-S subvertical boundary fault zones will progress either southwards or northwards, bouncing between both boundary faults. Since the E-W bounding fault zones dip at much lower angles, the seismic loads that are not refracted will be deflected towards the shallower ends of the DSB.

Vertically, the seismic velocity increases as a function of depth and the seismic loads that are not refracted will be deflected upwards in the basin. Since the velocity profile does not vary laterally, the geometry of the ray path in the N-S or E-W directions will be a function of the varying angles of the boundary fault zones.

When applied to real data, the predictions for wave path within the DSR substructure provide an answer to some singular observations. Van Eck (1988) analyzed the attenuation of coda waves in the Dead Sea region, using 13 low magnitude ($M < 3$) local events situated within the DSR. Since the seismic stations located within the DSR displayed lower $Q_c$ values than stations situated on the Western flank, it demonstrates that at short epicentral distances, wave paths confined exclusively to the sedimentary basin have a higher scattering than wave paths that comprise a section along the material interface of the boundary fault zones and within solid bedrock. Similarly, Bartal et al. (2000) noted that a weak event ($M 2.7$) located North of the Dead Sea, reached a tripartite micro-array, located out of the DSR at an epicentral distance of 81.5 km, faster than predicted if it had followed the shortest path. In both cases, seismic loads or at least some part of them see their propagation efficiency enhanced, guided by the DSR substructure, they arrive faster at the target than anticipated and with signal amplitude higher than expected.

At a regional scale, two types of wave-guiding processes are expected. In the N-S direction, seismic loads are channeled from one pull-apart basin to the other. Indeed, the 1999 Turkish earthquakes produced very clear and strong first arrivals on the Israeli Seismic Network (ISN) (Villagran, 2000, personal communication) that show that the DSR substructure has the potential to propagate seismic signals with minimal energy losses. Conversely, the presence of a zone of inefficient short-period $S_n$ and $L_g$ propagation in the Lev-
ant Shear Zone (Rodgers et al., 1997) can explain why some
stations of the ISN display a low sensitivity to signals com-
ing from the East (Ben Horin, 2000, personal communi-
cation). Since there is no ocanic crust to explain this deviation
from standard IASPEI travel times (Kennett, 1991), it sug-
gests that in the E-W direction, the DSR substructure works
as a band-pass filter (Fig. 3). It is expected that the 10–12 km
deep and 10 km wide DSB would high-band pass the on-
coming $L_g$. At depth, the elevated concave Moho that bends
wavefronts towards the surface disturbs the regular propaga-
tion of $S_p$. Both at a regional and at a local scale, a system-
atic analysis of travel time anomalies around the DSR sub-
structure is still needed to determine the exact geometrical
extent of the existing wave-guiding and to quantify the re-
sulting amplitude changes as well as the frequency shifts of
the various seismic ray bundles.

4.2 Site effects

The peculiar structure of the DSR and the shallow section of
its substructure provide a series of elements that are known
to play an important role in site effects and might enhance
the level of ground motion.

First, the composition of the upper layers of the DSB con-
ists of unconsolidated and weak sedimentary units in addi-
tion to a shallow groundwater table. These are ideal condi-
tions for liquefaction or for the formation of gravity waves
that can be generated in sedimentary basins during large
earthquakes (Lomnitz, 1994). Near the surface, lithologies
rich in clays, marls and aragonite that have developed into
seismsites have shown their extreme sensitivity to seismic
loads (Marco et Agnon, 1995; Marco et al., 1996). A simi-
lar sensitivity was reported for underwater sediments that
failed (Niemi and Ben-Avraham, 1994, 1997). In addition,
the strong ground motion amplification of soft soils recorded
during the 1985 Mexico City earthquake (Singh et al., 1988),
indicates that the types of soils encountered within the DSB
could be responsible for ground accelerations higher than ex-
pected. Within the DSR area, this type of site effect might
lead to over-estimating the magnitudes of palaeoseisms when
these are based on empirical relationships between the mag-
nitude and fault rupture length or fault displacement (Mc-
Calpin, 1996).

Second, the important topographic relief observed on ei-
ther shoulders of the DSR certainly accounts for topographic
effects (Geli et al., 1988; Caillot and Bard, 1990; Zaslawski
that the topographical amplification in the frequency range
1.2–2.0 Hz varies between a factor 2 to 4, depending on the
orientation of the topographic relief relative to the seismic
source. For these reasons, the topographic effects can be
held responsible for the high level of structural failure ob-
served on either side of the DSR shoulders, directly beyond
the sub-vertical fault zones bounding the DSR substructure.

If there is no doubt that site and topographic effects
will affect ground motion predictions of future earthquakes,
these effects cannot be evoked to explain a series of unex-
pected instrumental observations or the peculiar distribution
doing earthquake-triggered landslides, failure features of ancient
structures and elevators.

5 Discussion

The analysis of various types of failure features caused either
by ancient or by recent earthquakes in the DSR region (Levy
et al., 2000; Marco et al., 2000; Niemi and Ben-Avraham,
1994, 1997; Nur and Ron, 1997; Wachs and Levitte, 1978,
1981; Wust-Bloch, 2000; Wust-Bloch and Wachs, 2000) has
led to the work hypothesis that the particular substructure of
the DSR guides seismic loads. The review of geophysical
surveys carried out in the DSR area has shown that the geo-
metry of the deep DSB (Ten Brink et al., 1993), together
with the high rheological contrast between the bedrock and
the sedimentary filling, can account for the aforementioned
observations. In addition, the wave-guiding process corrobo-
rates anomalies revealed either by monitoring the local seis-
micity (Arieh et al., 1977; Shamir, 1996; Shapira et al., 1993;
Shapira and Shamir, 1996) or through seismic experiments at
a local and a regional scale (Bartal et al., 2000; Rodgers et
al., 1997; van Eck, 1988). Note that most of these anomalies
have been observed in situations for which aggravating fac-
tors (site effects, topographic features, geomorphological fea-
tures and soil type) or specific ray paths cannot be invoked.
When compared to empirical attenuation relations (Fig. 3.),
the values recorded in the region are anomalously larger than
standard predictions.

The selective distribution pattern of archeological struc-
tures that either failed or did not fail in the Northern part
of Israel as a result of earthquakes is unusual (Wust-Bloch,
2000) and can be used to test the predictions of the model.
The combined effects of surface ruptures, near-field ground
motion, site amplification and wave guiding, directly ac-
count for the intense level of destruction of ancient struc-
tures within the DSR and its direct surrounding. This con-
trasts sharply with the presence of ancient structures that are
still intact today, one or two dozen kilometers away from
the DSR fault zone. Since no particular anisotropic anomaly
that could enhance the attenuation or selectively filter on-
coming seismic loads is known to exist on either side of the DSR,
the preservation of these structures apparently results from the
wave-guiding by the DSR substructure. Further to the North,
along the DSR axis, the level of preservation of archeological
structures is equally high, indicating that the wave-guiding
process is minimal. Since a transition in tectonic style, from
a chain of opening pull-apart basins towards the South, to a
series of splaying fault zones to the North, can be observed
around the border area between Lebanon and Israel, it sug-
gests that the DSR substructure also changes and that its as-
associated wave-guiding characteristics do not extend further
North. Conversely, seismic loads propagating from the North
are affected as soon as they enter into the dominating DSR
substructure. In addition, preliminary results of numerical
simulation of wave propagation within a simplified DSB en-
environment confirms that the amplitudes of the seismic waves within the Rift decay at a much slower rate than suggested by attenuation relations for the area (Goetshammer et al., submitted).

After testing this concept of seismic wave-guiding by the DSR substructure, further research should be undertaken to refine the model and quantify it thoroughly. Specifically, available local seismic data (microseismicity, calibration shots, quarry blasts) must be systematically analyzed for bias resulting from phase picking, hypocentral location or the velocity model used. If the station residual error remains significant, the station-receiver paths should be systematically investigated in relation to known tectonic features in order to locate anisotropic corridors or barriers and to predict seismic shadow zones. Finally, travel-time anomalies and modification of waveform characteristics need to be modeled at a local and at a regional scale. The results of these future quantitative investigations have implications for seismic monitoring such as that undertaken within the framework of the CTBT and for seismic hazard assessment in the region and possibly of other active rift regions. At a regional scale, wave-guiding can explain why some stations of the national seismic network display a low sensitivity to signals coming from the East. Inversely, the DSR has the potential to amplify and propagate the weakest seismic signals over unexpectedly large distances along its axis. At a local scale, the filtering of seismic signals by the DSR has meaningful implications since currently all reliance is placed on average attenuation curves for the region that do not take into consideration the specifics of the DSR substructure. This can undoubtedly lead to either mislocating microseismic sources or over-estimating the original source magnitude.

In terms of seismic hazard assessment, the wave-guide model raises a series of issues. Confining the seismic loads within a pipe-like structure reduces the surface area of the wave front, therefore resulting in energy levels higher than those of non-trapped propagation modes. In other words, the DSR substructure might be channeling large amounts of seismic energy with minimal energy losses along its N-S axis and simultaneously minimizing the lateral spreading of the seismic load. Consequently, it might be reasonable to estimate the attenuation of a seismic load for a given site by considering its shortest path to the active DSR fault zone rather than by considering a whole range of potential epicentral distances. If experimentally verified, this process will certainly increase the known vulnerability of populations and structures within the DSR region. Additional modeling might also identify specific zones such as seismic focal points that can pose serious hazards to both humans as well as to the infrastructure. Special attention should be paid to the shallow extremities of the sedimentary basins that can focus ray bundles and function as a ramp as does a sand beach for the development of ocean surfs. At a very local scale, it was a similar process that triggered the buckling and failure of the upper deck of the Cypress Structure during the 17 October 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake (Lomnitz, 1994).
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